Design, Construction, and Evaluation of UHPC Bridge Deck Overlays for NJDOT

Samer Rabie - New Jersey Department of Transportation Jess Mendenhall, P.E. - New Jersey Department of Transportation



Introduction



- Pilot project to install and evaluate Ultra-High-Performance Concrete (UHPC) bridge deck overlays.
- Focus on use as a strategy for preserving existing bridge decks.

Project Overview:

- 4 bridges
- 48,000 SF total deck area
- 2 Construction contracts (North/South)

UHPC

- Cementitious composite
- Optimized gradation
- Steel Fibers
- Superior mechanical & durability properties
- Self-leveling vs semi-thixotropic mixes











Program Background

- Past use of overlays for rehabilitation and new decks
- Preservation vs. Reconstruction

UHPC Overlay Advantages:

- Mechanical and durability properties
- Service life, potential life cycle cost savings

UHPC Overlay Disadvantages:

- Cost \$ (project avg. cost \$30-\$45/SF, overlay only)
- Contractor experience with use as an overlay
- Workability of overlay mix







Preservation vs. Reconstruction



- Deck Preservation (UHPC Overlay) vs Deck Reconstruction/Replacement
- Consider remaining service life of primary structural components (deck, superstructure, substructure)
- Consider Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA)





Preservation vs. Reconstruction



LCCA Details:

- Example Bridge:
 - Year Constructed: 1990
 - Footprint: 8000 SF
 - Single Span, Multi-Girder
- Alternative 1: UHPC overlay now (w/ super. & sub. Rehab.)
- Alternative 2: Do nothing, replace deck in 10 years (w/ super. & sub. rehab.)
- Assumptions:
 - Overall fair condition (Deck/Super/Sub)
 - Assume superstructure or substructure repairs for either alternative to increase remaining component service life
 - Assume 40-year service life of UHPC overlay
 - Structural Cost Only
 - Consider major routine maintenance/rehabilitation

Preservation vs. Reconstruction

- Initial Construction Cost:
 - UHPC Overlay (deck work only): \$480,000
 - Deck Replacement (deck work only): \$1,100,000
- Life Cycle Cost (100-year):
 - Alt. 1: UHPC Overlay (now): \$7.3M
 - Alt. 2: Deck Replacement (in 10 years): \$7.7M



Bridge Selection





Bridge Selection

- 8 structures fully evaluated and tested
- 4 structures advanced into design and construction
- Considerations:
 - Component condition ratings
 - Deck chloride content
 - Existing overlay depths
 - Need for superstructure and substructure repairs
- Elimination:
 - Chlorides
 - Traffic impacts
 - Load ratings



0 5 10 20 Miles



Bridge Selection



Structure	Structure Type	Deck Area (SF)	Deck Age (yr)
I-295/US 130 NB over Mantua Creek	Steel Multi-Girder	20300	33
NJ 57 over Hances Brook	Prestressed Adjacent Slab	850	<10
I-280 WB over Newark Turnpike (CR 508)	Steel Multi-Girder	15200	40
NJ 159 WB over Passaic River	Prestressed I-Beam	11500	<10

- Deck slab ages: 10 40 years
- Deck slab areas: 800 20,000 SF
- All bridges existing asphalt overlay
- Deck/Super/Sub Condition Good or Better

Existing Conditions & Testing



- Core samples were taken at each bridge:
 - Existing overlay thickness
 - Chloride content
- Chloride content:
 - Contamination of deck concrete to remain
 - Baseline data for future testing
- Ground penetrating radar (GPR):
 - Estimate area of deck spall repairs
 - Confirm overall condition of the deck.



Design – UHPC Overlay



- Environmental constraints limited the design to match existing elevations.
- Thin UHPC Overlay:
 - UHPC Overlays can be a minimum of 1".
 - Average of 1.5" was specified to account for construction tolerances.
- Structures with existing overlay > 3" were designed for a 1.5" UHPC Overlay with an Asphalt topping
- NJ-159 bridge was designed with 2.75" UHPC Overlay, no asphalt topping



Design – Hydrodemolition



- Hydrodemolition is the preferred removal method for UHPC Overlays.
- Roughened surface with no microfractures creates ideal bonding surface with UHPC.
- The design called for 0.5" removal of the top surface of the deck



Hydrodemolished Surface – Typical

Design – Construction Joint



Construction Joint – 1.5" UHPC Overlay

Construction Joints – 2.75" UHPC Overlay



Design – Headers (Deck Joints)





Material Testing



- Performance Specifications
- Verification Testing
- Acceptance Testing
- Fresh Properties
- Both contractors choose to use proprietary UHPC mixes

UHPC Material Properties					
Description	Test Method	Acceptance Criteria			
Compressive Strength	C39 as modified by ASTM C 1856	-18,000 psi at 28- day moist cure			
Direct Tension 1st Cracking Strength	AASHTO T 397	fcr > 1,000 psi			
Direct Tension Post- Cracking Hardening Ratio	AASHTO T 397	$Fp/fcr \ge 1.25$			
Bond Strength	ASTM C 1583, Bonded to an Exposed Aggregate Concrete Surface	100% failure in substrate concrete with concrete compressive strength \geq 4ksi, or > 400 psi			
Modulus of Elasticity	C469 as modified by ASTM C 1856	≥ 6,500 ksi			
Long-Term Shrinkage	C157, initial reading after set, as modified by ASTM C 1856	≤ 800 micro-strain			
Rapid Chloride Ion Penetrability	AASHTO T277/ASTM C1202	≤250 coulombs (w/o steel fibers)			
Scaling Resistance	ASTM C672	≤ 3			
Freeze-Thaw Resistance	C666A, 600 cycles, as modified by ASTM C1856	Relative Dynamic Modulus of Elasticity > 95%			
Alkali-Silica Reaction	ASTM C1567	Innocuous			



UHPC Overlay Mockup Tests : Per the contract documents, contractors were required to successfully place a 4'x12'x3" deep rectangular test slab of UHPC with a grade of 8% in the longitudinal direction.



Construction – Hydrodemolition





Construction – UHPC Placement





Construction – UHPC Placement





Construction – Construction Joint





Exposed Fiber

Step

Construction – Curing/Finishing









Lessons Learned

- If an overlay on top of the UHPC is required, consider BDWSC, curing materials must be removed.
- GPR to determine concrete cover, overlay thickness and reinforcement locations.
- Construction surveys for each interim stage.
- Specify watertight forms, top forms, minimum of ¼" to ½" overfill, and surface grinding.

Lessons Learned



- To replace deck joints use conventional HPC with UHPC at the surface.
- Self-consolidating UHPC is preferred for the full-depth UHPC header placement to ensure consolidation.
- Partial depth UHPC headers will be recommended with staging limitations.



Lessons Learned



- Surface defects were addressed before asphalt paving.
- UHPC slurry with no fibers was placed in air voids.
- Define proper repair methods in the contract documents for aesthetic or structural anomalies.





- Two Bridges selected for annual Evaluations :
 - I-295 NB & US 130 NB over Mantua Creek in Gloucester County
 - (UHPC with Asphalt Topping)
 - NJ 159 WB over Passaic River in Morris County
 - (UHPC diamond grinding)
- Objective is to ensure the bond is intact between UHPC and the existing concrete.
- Initial survey to establish baseline conditions followed by periodic monitoring over succeeding years.





Testing Program :

- Non destructive testing (NDT)
 - Impact Echo (IE) : depth and location of potential debonding or voids.
 - Ultrasonic shear wave tomography (MIRA) : 3D representation to identify the location and orientation of embedded features.
- <u>Physical Sampling and Lab Testing</u>
 - Pull-off testing (ASTM C1583)
 Bond Strength and Failure Mode
 - Chloride profile (ASTM C1152)
 0.5 " and ~ 1.0 " below the UHPC Overlay



DECK PLAN

• Testing Plan:





IMPACT ECHO

Impact echo is a commonly used NDE method for evaluating concrete and reinforced concrete elements for discontinuities, delamination, and thickness verification.

ASTM C1383-15 "Standard Test Method for Measuring the P-Wave Speed and the Thickness of Concrete Plates Using the Impact-Echo Method"











ULTRASONIC TOMOGRAPHY

Analysis of the signal times and angles of incidence between transducers allows for the construction of a 3-D representation of embedded features (tomograph).







I IIII



Pull-off Testing (ASTM C1583)

Bond Strength and Failure Mode





Chloride Profile (ASTM C1152)

Within and below UHPC Overlay





Location #	Bond Strength (PSI)	Failure Mode	Core Numb
P 11	184.7	с	C1
P 12	376.7	а	C2
			C3
P 13	200.9	а	C4

	Depth (inches)		
Core Number	0.5" (Within UHPC)	1.0" (Below UHPC)	
	Chloride at Depth (PCY)		
C1	0.807	0.603	
C2	3.221	0.519	
C3	0.460	0.452	
C4	0.464	0.44	



Conclusions

- The ultrasonic tomography and impact echo testing indicated that the bond between UHPC and concrete substrate is sound.
- Bond strength test data demonstrates that the desired bond was achieved between UHPC and the substrate concrete.
- Chloride content is also within expectations.
- The baseline testing was successful, with no significant defects encountered
- Future monitoring at the same locations as well as different from the baseline to allow to maximize testing area.
- NJDOT is considering installing UHPC overlays on newly constructed decks as well as decks with lower condition ratings for future projects.
- A deeper overlay (with deeper existing deck removal) will be regarded as a viable alternative for structures that need a major deck rehabilitation.
- Incorporating UHPC for several applications in the new design manual, including P&R.

Thank You

- Acknowledgements:
- Jordy Padilla
- NJDOT
 Eric Yermack
 Ali Najem
 Pranav Lathia
 Mike Kasbekar
- FHWA
 Zach Haber
 Ben Graybeal
- WSP
 Steve Esposito
 Andy Foden

