A New Protocol for Evaluating the Durability of Coatings Used for Reducing Corrosion of Steel Structures

Bala Balaguru, PhD Rutgers University





Outline

Objectives

Background

Details of test method

Results

Conclusions

Acceptance criteria for new coatings

Acknowledgements



Objectives

- 1) Develop an accelerated test method for evaluating the protective coatings that can be completed within 1 year, preferably within 6 months
- Test method based on established test protocols, <u>quantitative</u> performance measurements for easy <u>repeatability</u> and <u>economical</u>
- 3) Results should correlate to field performance
- 4) Develop an acceptance protocol for <u>nationwide use</u>



Background

- 1) Duration of current test methods is about 2 years
- 2) Response variable is difficult to measure
- 3) No correlation to field performance
- 4) Not specific to steel used in Transportation Infrastructures

Comparison of current practice and the proposal			
Description	Current practice	Proposed	
Exposure Duration	5000 hrs salt fog + 5000 hrs weathering	2400 hrs	
Response variable	Corrosion growth:2 to 4 mm	Adhesion strength to equivalent corrosion growth	
Scribe	Х	Ο	
Exposure	Wet/dry Salt water UV + heating	Wet/dry Salt water UV +heating +Deep freezing	
Test sample	Rectangular plate	Rolled steel section	

-



Uniqueness of the Proposed Method

Instead of <u>X scribe</u> for introducing damage, a <u>O scribe</u> was used

The <u>O scribe</u> not only accelerated the corrosion progress because the corrosion progress all around the perimeter but also provides means to measure the damage more accurately using the adhesion strength of uncorroded surface



Uniqueness of the Proposed Method

In addition to the established exposure scheme, an additional <u>deep</u> <u>freezing</u> cycle for 8 hours was added using recent research results for further accelerating the corrosion process



Without Deep Freezing



With Deep Freezing



Test Samples

Rolled steel sections were used to simulate steel girders











Spray Painting System



Low Pressure Paint Spray Apparatus



Spray Painting Specimens



Exposure Conditions

Salt solution using the deicing salts of NJDOT
 UV

Wetting/Drying one hour each alternatively (total 16 hours)
 Heating during UV exposure and during drying
 Deep freezing for 8 hours



Exposure Conditions

In one day (master cycle):

8 cycles of wetting and drying. 1 hour each (total of 16 hours)
8 hours deep freezing







Cyclic Weathering Test-Chamber Developed for Accelerated Testing



Specimens in Deep-Freezer



Selection of Coatings

Based on 20 years study results, 2 best, 2 worst and 2 intermediate performance systems were selected

Coating System Designation	Primer Layer	Intermediate Layer	Top Layer
1	Phenalkamine Epoxy	None	Aliphatic Acrylic-Polyester Polyurethane
2	Polyamine Bisphenol A Epoxy	None	Acrylic Polyurethane
3	Carbomastic Epoxy	None	Aliphatic Acrylic-Polyester Polyurethane
4	Epoxy (Alkalyd)	None	Aliphatic Urethane
5	Polyamide Epoxy - Organic Zinc Rich	Polyamide Epoxy	Aliphatic Acrylic Polyurethane
6	Solvent Based Inorganic Zinc	Cycloaliphatic Amine Epoxy	Aliphatic Acrylic-Polyester Polyurethane



Summary of the Test Measurements

- Adhesion strength at every 14 Master cycles
- Color and thickness change at 0 and 100 cycles
- Visual inspection for any changes with particular focus on weld lines and bolt holes



Adhesion Strength

The (pull-off) adhesion strength of a coating was determined using **ASTM D7234** Test Method for Pull-Off Strength of Coatings on Concrete Using Portable Adhesion Testers.

It measures the greatest perpendicular force (in tension) that a surface area can bear before a dolly is detached.

Apparatus









Steel Surface after Pull-Off





Results



Worst and Best Performing Coating System



□ Thickness Gage used for measuring the thickness of Primer and Over Coat







Adhesion Strengths of Tested Coating Systems versus Number of Cycles



Example:

- Pull-off strength for the virgin sample = 800 psi or 400 lb. (area of 20 mm or 0.8 in. diameter circle is 0.5 Sq. inch)
- 2. Pull-off strength at a given exposure, 400 psi or 200 lb.
- 3. Assuming the original adhesive strength is same in un-corroded areas, un-corroded area = 200/ 800 Sq. inch.
- 4. Radius of un-corroded area = $(200/ \{800 \times 3.14\})^{1/2} = 0.28$ in or 7.2 mm
- 5. Corrosion Creep = original radius of 10 mm- radius after corrosion, or
- 6. Corrosion Creep = 10- 7.2 = 2.8 mm



Effective Corrosion Creep Growth of Coatings versus Number of Cycles



Other parameters determined from Cycle 0 and 100

- Change in thickness
- □ Change in color
- Visual inspection for welds and bolt holes



Coating Failure Mechanism

- Primary degradation mechanism is growth of rust from a damaged location
- Damage of top coat leads to the creation of damaged location.
 Vulnerable locations are: near supports, joints, connections, welded locations on sharp edges
- Contributing factors are: moisture, oxygen, salt, UV and interface damage due to differential thermal expansion of coating materials and steel



Conclusions

❑ The new test protocol provides clear and <u>quantitatively measurable</u> results for measuring corrosion vulnerability of the coating systems on steel surfaces.

Among the 6 coating systems that were evaluated, those containing <u>an</u> <u>inorganic zinc or organic zinc primer</u> performed the best. These finding are consistent with the 20-year field study and the results reported in the published literature.

The <u>O scribe</u> not only <u>aids the acceleration of corrosion</u> towards the center but also provides a means to measure deterioration using adhesion strength.



Conclusions

- ❑ As expected, corrosion growth from a damaged location was the primary response variable for predicting the durability of coatings.
- In order to evaluate the top coats, both change in thickness and possible change in color of the coatings were also measured.
- Visual inspection was particularly helpful to evaluate the effect of <u>welding</u> and locations near <u>bolt-holes</u>. The weak coating systems did show some deterioration in these areas.



- Minimum adhesion strength of virgin coating should be greater than
 600 psi
- Corrosion creep after 100 cycles of exposure should be less than 4 mm
- Minimum adhesion strength of coating after 100 cycles of exposure should be greater than 250 psi
- Coating should be applied using sprayer on a hand tool prepared surface of a rolled steel section



- Minimum adhesion strength of virgin coating should be greater than
 600 psi
- Minimum adhesion strength of coating after 100 cycles of exposure should be greater than 250 psi
- Adhesion strength after 100 cycles of exposure should be greater than 30% of adhesion strength of virgin coating
- Coating should be applied using sprayer on a hand tool prepared surface of a rolled steel section



Change in color measured using color meter or equivalent method should be less than 10%

□ Reduction in thickness should be less than 10%



Acknowledgements

> NJDOT

- Dr. Giri Venkiteela
- Dr. David Caronia, Hardik Yagnik, CAIT

Thank You

Questions ?